United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,546	04/02/2004	Euan Skinner Macleod	0978-0024	2538	
26568 COOK ALEY	7590 10/10/200 MCEARRON MAN	EXAMINER			
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606			REDDING, DAVID A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
011107100,12			3723		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		1	0/817,546	MACLEOD ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit			
		D	avid A. Redding	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHEVEF - Extensions of till after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	R IS LONGER, FROM THE Note that the provisions of the provisions on the mailing date of this comparely is specified above, the maximum structure that the set or extended period for reply the maximum structure.	IAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will a v will, by statute, cau	S SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH E OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be time poply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE e of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)☐ Respoi	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on .					
			tion is non-final.				
3)☐ Since t	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)∭ Claim(s	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s	8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Pap	ers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applica	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	Certified copies of the priority						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)			•				
	rences Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040	4)				
	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (F sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-948)	5) Notice of Informal F				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, are drawn to container, classified in class 15, subclass 343.
- II. Claim 16, is drawn to a process, classified in class 134, subclass 21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of group II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a container and dispenser for material other than dirt.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,546

Art Unit: 1744

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran-Piazza can be reached on 571-272-1224. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ David Redding / Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

DAR